

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | F                     | TILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/699,612            |                       | 10/31/2003  | Eric W. Leopold      | MICRU:64933            | MICRU:64933 9933        |  |  |
| 24201                 | 7590                  | 09/05/2006  |                      | EXAMINER               |                         |  |  |
| FULWIDE               |                       |             | HOUSTON, ELIZABETH   |                        |                         |  |  |
| 6060 CENT<br>10TH FLO |                       | E           |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  |                         |  |  |
| LOS ANGI              | LOS ANGELES, CA 90045 |             |                      |                        | 3731                    |  |  |
|                       |                       |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/05/200 | DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006 |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                              |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/699,612   | LEOPOLD ET AL.                            |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner   | Art Unit                                  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1  | Elizabeth Houston  | 3731                                      | <u> </u> |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this commu<br>Period for Reply   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2003.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restrict  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification | are withdrawn tion and/or ele he Examiner. e: a)  accep ection to the dr ng the correction                         | ection requirement.  Sted or b) objected to by the rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seen is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CF |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:  | ate                                       | )-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/699,612 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-24, drawn to stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.
  - II. Claims 25-38, drawn to system, classified in class 623, subclass 1.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the system can be used for delivering embolic coils to an aneurysm and does not require a stent that has zigzag bends. The subcombination has separate utility such as the stent can be deliver with a balloon catheter.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,612

Art Unit: 3731

5. A telephone call was made to James Paul on 08/22/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or, without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Houston whose telephone number is 571-272-7134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

eh

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER